arXiv:1505.07749v1 [math.CV] 28 May 2015

A weighted extremal function and equilibrium measure

Len Bos, Norman Levenberg, Sione Ma‘u and Federico Piazzon

May 29, 2015

Abstract

Let $K = \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $Q(x) := \frac{1}{2}\log(1+x^2)$ where $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ and $x^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$. Utilizing extremal functions for convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and Sadullaev's characterization of algebraicity for complex analytic subvarieties of $\mathbb{C}^n$ we prove the following explicit formula for the weighted extremal function $V_{K,Q}$:

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\log\big([1+|z|^2] + \{[1+|z|^2]^2 - |1+z^2|^2\}^{1/2}\big)$$

where $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$ and $z^2 = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$. As a corollary, we find that the Alexander capacity $T_\omega(\mathbb{RP}^n)$ of $\mathbb{RP}^n$ is $1/\sqrt{2}$. We also compute the Monge-Ampère measure of $V_{K,Q}$:

$$(dd^c V_{K,Q})^n = n! \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} dx.$$

1 Introduction

For $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ compact, define the usual Siciak-Zaharjuta *extremal function*

$$V_K(z) := \max\left\{0, \sup_p \left\{\frac{1}{deg(p)}\log|p(z)| : p \text{ poly.}, \ ||p||_K := \max_{z\in K}|p(z)| \le 1\right\}\right\}, \qquad (1.1)$$

where the supremum is taken over (non-constant) holomorphic polynomials p, and let $V_K^*(z) := \limsup_{\zeta\to z} V_K(\zeta)$ be its uppersemicontinuous (usc) regularization. If $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is closed, a nonnegative uppersemicontinuous function $w : K \to [0,\infty)$ with $\{z \in K : w(z) = 0\}$ pluripolar is called a weight function on K and $Q(z) := -\log w(z)$ is the *potential* of w. The associated *weighted extremal function* is

$$V_{K,Q}(z) := \sup\{\frac{1}{deg(p)}\log|p(z)| : p \text{ poly.}, \ ||pe^{-deg(p)Q}||_K \le 1\}.$$

Note $V_K = V_{K,0}$. For unbounded K, the potential Q is required to grow at least like $\log|z|$. If, e.g,

$$\liminf_{z\in K, \ |z|\to+\infty}\big(Q(z) - \log|z|\big) > -\infty$$

(we call Q *weakly admissible*), then the Monge-Ampère measure $(dd^cV_{K,Q}^*)^n$ may or may not have compact support. A priori these extremal functions may be defined in terms of upper envelopes of *Lelong class* functions: we write $L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for the set of all plurisubharmonic (psh) functions u on $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the property that $u(z) - \log|z| = 0(1),\ |z| \to \infty$ and

$$L^+(\mathbb{C}^n) := \{u \in L(\mathbb{C}^n) : u(z) \geq \log^+|z| + C\}$$

where C is a constant depending on u. For K compact, either $V_K^* \in L^+(\mathbb{C}^n)$ or $V_K^* \equiv \infty$, this latter case occurring when K is pluripolar; i.e., there exists $u \not\equiv -\infty$ psh on a neighborhood of K with $K \subset \{u = -\infty\}$. In the setting of weakly admissible Q it is a result of [6] that, provided the function

$$\sup\{u(z) : u \in L(\mathbb{C}^n),\ u \leq Q \text{ on } K\}$$

is continuous, it coincides with $V_{K,Q}(z)$.

If we let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ with the usual Kähler form ω normalized so that $\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} \omega^n = 1$, we can define the class of $\omega-$*psh functions* (cf., [11])

$$PSH(X,\omega) := \{\phi \in L^1(X) : \phi \text{ usc},\ dd^c\phi + \omega \geq 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{z} := [z_0 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n]$ be homogeneous coordinates on $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. Identifying $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the affine subset of $\mathbb{P}^n$ given by $\{[1 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n]\}$, we can identify the $\omega-$psh functions with the Lelong class $L(\mathbb{C}^n)$, i.e.,

$$PSH(X,\omega) \approx L(\mathbb{C}^n),$$

and the bounded (from below) $\omega-$psh functions coincide with the subclass $L^+(\mathbb{C}^n)$: if $\phi \in PSH(X,\omega)$, then

$$u(z) = u(z_1, ..., z_n) := \phi([1 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n]) + \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + |z|^2) \in L(\mathbb{C}^n);$$

if $u \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$, define $\phi \in PSH(X,\omega)$ via

$$\phi([1 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n]) = u(z) - \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + |z|^2) \text{ and}$$

$$\phi([0 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n]) = \limsup_{|t|\to\infty,\ t\in\mathbb{C}} [u(tz) - \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + |tz|^2)].$$

Abusing notation, we write $u = \phi + u_0$ where $u_0(z) := \frac{1}{2}\log(1+|z|^2)$. Given a closed subset $K \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and a function q on K, we can define a *weighted* $\omega-$*psh extremal function*

$$v_{K,q}(\mathbf{z}) := \sup\{\phi(\mathbf{z}) : \phi \in PSH(X,\omega),\ \phi \leq q \text{ on } K\}.$$

Thus if $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, for $[1 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n] = [1 : z] \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$v_{K,q}([1 : z]) = \sup\{u(z) : u \in L(\mathbb{C}^n),\ u \leq u_0 + q \text{ on } K\} - u_0(z) = V_{K,u_0+q}(z) - u_0(z). \quad (1.2)$$

If $q = 0$, the *Alexander capacity* $T_\omega(K)$ of $K \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ was defined in [11] as

$$T_\omega(K) := \exp\,[-\sup_{\mathbb{P}^n} v_{K,0}].$$

This notion has applications in complex dynamics; cf., [10].

These extremal psh and $\omega-$psh functions $V_K, V_{K,Q}$ and $v_{K,0}, v_{K,q}$, as well as the homogeneous extremal psh function H_E of $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ (whose definition we recall in the next section), are very difficult to compute explicitly. Even when an explicit formula exists, computation of the associated Monge-Ampère measure is problematic. Our main goal in this paper is to utilize a novel approach to explicitly compute $V_{K,Q}$ and $(dd^cV_{K,Q})^n$ for the closed set $K = \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and the weight $w(z) = |f(z)| = |\frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}}|$ where $z^2 = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$ (see (4.3) or Theorem 5.1, and (6.5)). Note the potential $Q(z)$ in this case is the standard Kähler potential $u_0(z)$ restricted to $\mathbb{R}^n$. As an application we can calculate the Alexander capacity $T_\omega(\mathbb{RP}^n)$ of $\mathbb{RP}^n$ (Corollary 5.2).

We offer several methods to explicitly compute $V_{K,Q}$. For the first one, we relate this weighted extremal function to:

1. the extremal function $V_{B_{n+1}}$ of the *real* $(n+1)-$*ball*

$$B_{n+1} = \{(u_0, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j^2 \le 1\}$$

   in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ as well as

2. the extremal function $V_{\widetilde{K}}$ of the *real* $n-$*sphere*

$$\widetilde{K} = \{(u_0, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j^2 = 1\}$$

   in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *considered as a compact subset of the complexified* $n-$*sphere*

$$A := \{(W_0, ..., W_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} W_j^2 = 1\}$$

   in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. This function is the *Grauert tube function* of $\widetilde{K}$ in A; cf., [15].

A similar (perhaps simpler) idea is a relation between $V_{K,Q}$ and

1. the extremal function V_{B_n} of the *real* $n-$*ball*

$$B_n := \{(u_1, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j^2 \le 1\}$$

   in $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and

2. the homogeneous extremal function H_S of the *real* $n-$*upper hemisphere*

$$S := \{(u_0, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j^2 \leq 1,\ u_0 > 0\}$$

   in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ considered as a subset of A

obtained by projecting S onto B_n.

In both cases we appeal to two well-known and highly non-trivial results:

1. using Theorem 2.1 (or [1]) we have a foliation of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_n$ (and $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus B_{n+1}$) by complex ellipses on which V_{B_n} ($V_{B_{n+1}}$) is harmonic; and
2. using Theorem 2.2 we have $V_{\widetilde{K}}$ (and H_S) is locally bounded on A and is maximal on $A \setminus \widetilde{K}$ (on $A \setminus S$).

See the next section for statements of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 and section 4 for details of these relations.

Bloom (cf., [4] and [3]) introduced a technique to switch back and forth between certain pluripotential-theoretic notions in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and their weighted counterparts in $\mathbb{C}^n$; we recall this in the next section. In section 3, we discuss a modification of Bloom's technique suitable for special weights w and we use this modification in section 4 to construct a formula for $V_{K,Q}$ on a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for the set $K = \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and weight $w(z) = |f(z)| = |\frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}}|$. This formula gives an explicit candidate $u \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for $V_{K,Q}$. In section 5 we give another "geometric" interpretation of u by observing a relationship with the Lie ball

$$L_n := \{z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z|^2 + \{|z|^4 - |z^2|^2\}^{1/2} \leq 1\}$$

which we use to explicitly compute that $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$, verifying that $u = V_{K,Q}$. As a corollary, we compute the Alexander capacity $T_\omega(\mathbb{RP}^n)$ of $\mathbb{RP}^n$. Finally, section 6 utilizes results from [9] to compute an explicit formula for the Monge-Ampère measure $(dd^c V_{K,Q})^n$.

2 Known results on extremal functions

In this section, we list some results and connections about extremal functions, all of which will be utilized.

One particular situation where we know much information about V_K is when K is a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$; i.e., $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact, convex and $\text{int}_{\mathbb{R}^n} K \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body. Through every point $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus K$ there is either a complex ellipse E with $z \in E$ such that V_K restricted to E is harmonic on $E \setminus K$, or there is a complexified real line L with $z \in L$ such that V_K is harmonic on $L \setminus K$. For such E, $E \cap K$ is a real ellipse inscribed in K with the property that for its given eccentricity and orientation, it is the ellipse with largest area completely contained in K; for such L, $L \cap K$ is the longest line segment (for its given direction) completely contained in K.*

We refer the reader to Theorem 5.2 and Section 6 of [8]; see also [7]. The ellipses and lines in Theorem 2.1 have parametrizations of the form

$$F(\zeta) = a + c\zeta + \frac{\bar{c}}{\zeta},$$

$a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $V_K(F(\zeta)) = \log^+ |\zeta|$ ($\bar{c}$ denotes the component-wise complex conjugate of c). These are higher dimensional analogs of the classical Joukowski function $\zeta \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(\zeta + \frac{1}{\zeta})$. For $K = B_n$, the real unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the real ellipses $E \cap B_n$ and lines $L \cap B_n$ in Theorem 2.1 are symmetric with respect to the origin and, other than great circles in the real boundary of B_n, each $E \cap B_n$ and $L \cap B_n$ hits this real boundary at exactly two antipodal points. Lundin proved [13], [1] that

$$V_K(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log h(|z|^2 + |z^2 - 1|), \tag{2.1}$$

where $|z|^2 = \sum |z_j|^2$, $z^2 = \sum z_j^2$, and h is the inverse Joukowski map $h(\frac{1}{2}(t + \frac{1}{t})) = t$ for $1 \leq t \in \mathbb{R}$. In this example, the Monge-Ampère measure $(dd^c V_K)^n$ has the explicit form

$$(dd^c V_K)^n = n! \ vol(K) \ \frac{dx}{(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} := n! \ vol(K) \ \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n}{(1 - |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

(see also (6.4)).

We may consider the class

$$H := \{u \in L(\mathbb{C}^n) : \ u(tz) = \log |t| + u(z), \ t \in \mathbb{C}, \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

of *logarithmically homogeneous* psh functions and, for $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the *homogeneous extremal function of* E denoted by H_E^* where

$$H_E(z) := \max[0, \sup\{u(z) : u \in H, \ u \leq 0 \text{ on } E\}].$$

Note that $H_E(z) \leq V_E(z)$. If E is compact, we have

$$H_E(z) = \max[0, \sup\{\frac{1}{deg(h)} \log |h(z)| : h \text{ homogeneous polynomial}, \ ||h||_E \leq 1\}].$$

The $H-$principle of Siciak (cf., [12]) gives a one-to-one correspondence between

1. homogeneous polynomials $H_d(t, z)$ of a fixed degree d in $\mathbb{C}_t \times \mathbb{C}_z^n$ and polynomials $p_d(z) = H_d(1, z)$ of degree d in $\mathbb{C}_z^n$ via

$$H_d(t, z) := t^d p_d(z/t);$$

2. psh functions $h(t, z)$ in $H(\mathbb{C}_t \times \mathbb{C}_z^n)$ and psh functions $u(z) = h(1, z)$ in $L(\mathbb{C}_z^n)$ via

$$h(t, z) = \log |t| + u(z/t) \text{ if } t \neq 0; \ h(0, z) := \limsup_{(t,\zeta) \to (0,z)} h(t, z);$$

3. extremal functions V_E of $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n_z$ and homogeneous extremal functions $H_{1\times E}$ via 2.; i.e.,
$$V_E(z) = H_{1\times E}(1,z). \tag{2.2}$$

To expand upon 3., given a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, if one forms the circled set (S is circled means $z \in S \iff e^{i\theta}z \in S$)

$$Z(E) := \{(t,tz) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : z \in E,\ |t| = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1},$$

then

$$H_{Z(E)}(1,z) = V_E(z);$$

indeed, for $t \neq 0$,

$$H_{Z(E)}(t,z) = V_E(z/t) + \log|t|.$$

Note that $Z(E)$ is the "circling" of the set $\{1\} \times E \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. In general, if $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the set

$$E_c := \{e^{i\theta}z : z \in E,\ \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is the smallest circled set containing E. If E is compact, then $\widehat{E}_c$, the polynomial hull of E_c, is given by

$$\widehat{E}_c = \{tz :\ z \in E,\ |t| \leq 1\}$$

which coincides with the *homogeneous polynomial hull* of E:

$$\widehat{E}_{hom} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |p(z)| \leq ||p||_E \text{ for all homogeneous polynomials } p\}.$$

We have $H_{E_c} = V_{E_c}$. For future use we remark that if $E \subset F$ with $H_E = H_F = V_F$, it is *not* necessarily true that $V_E = H_E$. As a simple example, we can take $E = B_n$, the real unit ball, and $F = \widehat{E}_c = \widehat{E}_{hom}$. Then $F = L_n$, the *Lie ball*

$$L_n = \{z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z|^2 + \{|z|^4 - |z^2|^2\}^{1/2} \leq 1\}$$

(see section 5). Here, $V_{B_n} \neq V_{L_n}$.

More generally, if $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is closed and w is a weight function on K, we can form the circled set

$$Z(K,Q) := \{(t,tz) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : z \in E,\ |t| = w(z)\}$$

and then

$$H_{Z(K,Q)}(1,z) = V_{K,Q}(z);$$

indeed, for $t \neq 0$,

$$H_{Z(K,Q)}(t,z) = V_{K,Q}(z/t) + \log|t|.$$

This is the device utilized by Bloom (cf., [4] and [3]) alluded to in the introduction.

Finally, we mention the following beautiful result of Sadullaev [14].

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a pure $m-$dimensional, irreducible analytic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^n$ where $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Then A is algebraic if and only if for some (all) $K \subset A$ compact and nonpluripolar in A, V_K in (1.1) is locally bounded on A.*

Note that A and hence K is pluripolar in $\mathbb{C}^n$ so $V_K^* \equiv \infty$; moreover, $V_K = \infty$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus A$. In this setting, $V_K|_A$ (precisely, its usc regularization in A) is maximal on the regular points A^{reg} of A outside of K; i.e., $(dd^c V_K|_A)^m = 0$ there, and $V_K|_A \in L(A)$. Here $L(A)$ is the set of psh functions u on A (u is psh on A^{reg} and locally bounded above on A) with the property that $u(z) - \log|z| = 0(1)$ as $|z| \to \infty$ through points in A, see [14].

3 Relating extremal functions

Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be closed and let f be holomorphic on a neighborhood Ω of K. We define $F : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ as

$$F(z) := (f(z), zf(z)) = W = (W_0, W') = (W_0, W_1, ..., W_n)$$

where $W' = (W_1, ..., W_n)$. Thus

$$W_0 = f(z),\ W_1 = z_1 f(z), ...,\ W_n = z_n f(z).$$

Moreover we assume there exists a polynomial $P = P(z_0, z)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with $P(f(z), z) = 0$ for $z \in \Omega$; i.e., f is *algebraic*. Taking such a polynomial P of minimal degree, let

$$A := \{W \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : P(W_0, W'/W_0) = P(W_0, W_1/W_0, ..., W_n/W_0) = 0\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that writing $P(W_0, W'/W_0) = \widetilde{P}(W_0, W')/W_0^s$ where $\widetilde{P}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and s is the degree of $P(z_0, z)$ in z we see that A differs from the algebraic variety

$$\widetilde{A} := \{W \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \widetilde{P}(W_0, W') = 0\}$$

by at most the set of points in A where $W_0 = 0$, which is pluripolar in A. Thus we can apply Sadullaev's Theorem 2.2 to nonpluripolar subsets of A. Now $P(f(z), z) = 0$ for $z \in \Omega$ says that

$$F(\Omega) = \{(f(z), zf(z)) : z \in \Omega\} \subset A.$$

We can define a weight function $w(z) := |f(z)|$ which is well defined on all of Ω and in particular on K; as usual, we set

$$Q(z) := -\log w(z) = -\log|f(z)|. \tag{3.2}$$

We will need our potentials defined in (3.2) to satisfy

$$Q(z) := \max\{-\log|W_0| : W \in A,\ W'/W_0 = z\} \tag{3.3}$$

and we mention that (3.3) can give an a priori definition of a potential for those $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ at which there exist $W \in A$ with $W'/W_0 = z$.

We observe that for $K \subset \Omega$, we have two natural associated subsets of A:

1. $\widetilde{K} := \{W \in A : W'/W_0 \in K\}$ and

2. $F(K)=\{W=F(z)\in A: z\in K\}$.

Note that $F(K)\subset \widetilde{K}$ and the inclusion can be strict.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $K\subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be closed with Q in (3.2) satisfying (3.3). If $F(K)$ is nonpluripolar in A,*

$$V_{K,Q}(z)-Q(z)\leq H_{F(K)}(W) \text{ for } z\in\Omega \text{ with } f(z)\neq 0$$

where the inequality is valid for $W=F(z)\in F(\Omega)$.

This reduces to (2.2) if $w(z)\equiv 1$ ($Q(z)\equiv 0$) in which case $F(K)=\{1\}\times K$.

Remark 3.2. In general, Proposition 3.1 only gives estimates for $V_{K,Q}(z)$ if $z\in\Omega$ and $f(z)\neq 0$. We will use this and Lemma 3.5 in the next section to get a formula for $V_{K,Q}(z)$ when $K=\mathbb{R}^n\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ and the weight $w(z)=|f(z)|=|\frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}}|$ for z in a neighborhood Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and in section 5 we will verify that this formula is valid on all of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof. First note that for $z\in K$ and $W=F(z)\in F(K)$, given a polynomial p in $\mathbb{C}^n$,

$$|w(z)^{degp}p(z)|=|f(z)|^{degp}|p(z)|=|W_0^{degp}p(W'/W_0)|=|\widetilde{p}(W)|$$

where $\widetilde{p}$ is the homogenization of p. Thus $||w^{degp}p||_K\leq 1$ implies $|\widetilde{p}|\leq 1$ on $F(K)$. Now fix $z\in\Omega$ at which $f(z)\neq 0$ (so $Q(z)<\infty$) and fix $\epsilon>0$. Choose a polynomial $p=p(z)$ with $||w^{degp}p||_K\leq 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{degp}\log|p(z)|\geq V_{K,Q}(z)-\epsilon.$$

Thus

$$V_{K,Q}(z)-\epsilon-Q(z)\leq\frac{1}{degp}\log|p(z)|-Q(z).$$

For $W\in A$ with $W_0\neq 0$ and $W'/W_0=z$, the above inequality reads:

$$V_{K,Q}(z)-\epsilon-Q(z)\leq\frac{1}{degp}\log|p(W'/W_0)|-Q(W'/W_0)\leq\frac{1}{degp}\log|p(W'/W_0)|+\log|W_0|$$

from (3.3). But

$$\frac{1}{degp}\log|p(W'/W_0)|+\log|W_0|=\frac{1}{degp}\log|W_0^{degp}p(W'/W_0)|=\frac{1}{deg\widetilde{p}}\log|\widetilde{p}(W)|.$$

This shows that

$$V_{K,Q}(z)-\epsilon-Q(z)\leq\sup\{\frac{1}{deg\widetilde{p}}\log|\widetilde{p}(W)|:|\widetilde{p}|\leq 1 \text{ on } F(K)\}\leq H_{F(K)}(W).$$

□

Next we prove a lower bound involving $\widetilde{K}$ which will be applicable in our special case.

Definition 3.3. Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be an algebraic hypersurface. We say that A is *bounded on lines through the origin* if there exists a uniform constant $c \geq 1$ such that for all $W \in A$, if $\alpha W \in A$ also holds for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then $|\alpha| \leq c$.

Example 3.4. A simple example of a hypersurface bounded on lines through the origin is one given by an equation of the form $p(W) = 1$, where p is a homogeneous polynomial. In this case, if $\alpha W \in A$ then

$$1 = p(\alpha W) = \alpha^{degp} p(W) = \alpha^{degp},$$

so α must be a root of unity. Hence we may take $c = 1$.

In order to get a lower bound on $V_{K,Q} - Q$ we need to be able to extend Q to a function in $L(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $Q(z) = -\log|f(z)|$ with f defined and holomorphic on $\Omega \supset K$. Define A as in (3.1) and assume Q satisfies (3.3). We suppose A is bounded on lines through the origin, $\widetilde{K}$ is a nonpluripolar subset of A, and that Q has an extension to $\mathbb{C}^n$ (which we still call Q) satisfying (3.3) such that $Q \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then given $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$,*

$$H_{\widetilde{K}}(W) \leq V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) \leq V_{K,Q}(z) - Q(z)$$

for all $W = (W_0, W') \in A$ with $W'/W_0 = z$.

Proof. The left-hand inequality $H_{\widetilde{K}}(W) \leq V_{\widetilde{K}}(W)$ is immediate. For the right-hand inequality, we first note that $V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) \in L(A)$ if $\widetilde{K}$ is nonpluripolar in A. Hence there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) \leq \log|W| + C = \log|W_0| + \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + |W'/W_0|^2) + C$$

for all $W \in A$ with $W_0 \neq 0$.

Define the function

$$U(z) := \max\{V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) : W \in A, W'/W_0 = z\} + Q(z).$$

Note that the right-hand side is a locally finite maximum since A is an algebraic hypersurface. Away from the singular points A^{sing} of A one can write $V_{\widetilde{K}}(W)$ as a psh function in z by composing it with a local inverse of the map $A \ni W \mapsto z = W'/W_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Hence U is psh off the pluripolar set

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : z = W'/W_0 \ \text{ for some } \ W \in A^{sing}\},$$

and hence psh everywhere since it is clearly locally bounded above on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Also, since $V_{\widetilde{K}} = 0$ on $\widetilde{K}$ it follows that $U \leq Q$ on K. We now verify that $U \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by checking its growth. By the definitions of U and Q and (3.3), given $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ there exist $W, V \in A$, with $z = W'/W_0 = V'/V_0$, such that

$$U(z) = V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) + Q(z) \ \text{ and } \ Q(z) = -\log|V_0|.$$

Note that $W = \alpha V$, and since A is uniformly bounded on lines through the origin, there is a uniform constant c (independent of W, V) such that $|\alpha| \leq c$. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} U(z) = V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) - \log|V_0| &\leq V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) - \log|W_0| + \log c \\ &\leq \log|W| + C - \log|W_0| + \log c \\ &= \log|W/W_0| + C + \log c = \tfrac{1}{2}\log(1+|z|^2) + C + \log c \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ exists since $V_{\widetilde{K}} \in L(A)$. Hence $U \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and since $U \leq Q$ on K this means that $U(z) \leq V_{K,Q}(z)$. By the definition of U,

$$V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) + Q(z) \leq V_{K,Q}(z)$$

for all $W \in A$ such that $W'/W_0 = z$, which completes the proof. ☐

The situation of Lemma 3.5 will be the setting of our example in the next section.

4 The weight $w(z) = |\frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}}|$ and $K = \mathbb{R}^n$

We consider the closed set $K = \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and the weight $w(z) = |f(z)| = |\frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}}|$ where $z^2 = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2$. Note that $f(z) \neq 0$ and we may extend $Q(z) = -\log|f(z)|$ to all of $\mathbb{C}^n$ as $Q(z) = \frac{1}{2}\log|1+z^2| \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Since

$$(1+z^2)\cdot f(z)^2 - 1 = 0,$$

we take

$$P(z_0, z) = (1+z^2)z_0^2 - 1.$$

Here,

$$A = \{W : P(W_0, W'/W_0) = (1 + W'^2/W_0^2)W_0^2 - 1 = W_0^2 + W'^2 - 1 = 0\}$$

is the complexified sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. From Definition 3.3 and Example 3.4, A is bounded on lines through the origin. Note that f is clearly holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^n$; thus we can take, e.g., $\Omega = \{z = x+iy \in \mathbb{C}^n : y^2 = y_1^2 + \cdots + y_n^2 < s < 1\}$ in Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.5 where $z_j = x_j + iy_j$. Condition (3.3) holds for $Q(z) = \frac{1}{2}\log|1+z^2| \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ at $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for which there exist $W \in A$ with $W'/W_0 = z$ since $W = (W_0, W') \in A$ implies $W_0 = \pm\sqrt{1-(W')^2}$ so that $|W_0|$ is the same for each choice of W_0. We have

$$F(K) = \{(f(z), zf(z)) : z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in K = \mathbb{R}^n\} = \{(\frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{1/2}}, \frac{x}{(1+x^2)^{1/2}}) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Writing $u_j = \mathrm{Re} W_j$, we see that

$$F(K) = \{(u_0, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j^2 = 1,\ u_0 > 0\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\widetilde{K} = \{W \in A : W'/W_0 \in K\} = \{(u_0, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j^2 = 1\}.$$

Clearly $\widetilde{K}$ is nonpluripolar in A which completes the verification that Lemma 3.5 is applicable. We also observe that since for any homogeneous polynomial $h = h(W_0, ..., W_n)$ we have

$$|h(-u_0, u_1, ..., u_n)| = |h(u_0, -u_1, ..., -u_n)|,$$

the homogeneous polynomial hulls of $\widetilde{K}$ and $\overline{F(K)}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ coincide so that $H_{\widetilde{K}} = H_{\overline{F(K)}}$ in A. Since

$$\overline{F(K)} \setminus F(K) = \{(u_0, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j^2 = 1, \ u_0 = 0\} \subset A \cap \{W_0 = 0\}$$

is a pluripolar subset of A,

$$H_{\widetilde{K}} = H_{F(K)} \tag{4.1}$$

on $A \setminus P$ where $P \subset A$ is pluripolar in A. Combining (4.1) with Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$H_{\widetilde{K}}(W) = V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) = V_{K,Q}(z) - Q(z) = H_{F(K)}(W) \tag{4.2}$$

for $z \in \widetilde{\Omega} := \Omega \setminus \widetilde{P}$ and $W = F(z)$ where $\widetilde{P}$ is pluripolar in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

To compute the extremal functions in this example, we first consider $V_{\widetilde{K}}$ in A. Let

$$B := B_{n+1} = \{(u_0, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j^2 \leq 1\}$$

be the real $(n+1)-$ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$V_B(W) = V_{\widetilde{K}}(W)$$

for $W \in A$.

Proof. Clearly $V_B|_A \leq V_{\widetilde{K}}$. To show equality holds, the idea is that if we consider the complexified extremal ellipses L_α as in Theorem 2.1 for B whose real points S_α are great circles on $\widetilde{K}$, the boundary of B in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then the union of these varieties fill out A: $\cup_\alpha L_\alpha = A$. Since $V_B|_{L_\alpha}$ is *harmonic*, we must have $V_B|_{L_\alpha} \geq V_{\widetilde{K}}|_{L_\alpha}$ so that $V_B|_A = V_{\widetilde{K}}$.

To see that $\cup_\alpha L_\alpha = A$, we first show $A \subset \cup_\alpha L_\alpha$. If $W \in A \setminus \widetilde{K}$, then W lies on *some* complexified extremal ellipse L whose real points E are an inscribed ellipse in B with boundary in $\widetilde{K}$ (and $V_B|_L$ is harmonic). If $L \neq L_\alpha$ for some α, then $E \cap \widetilde{K}$ consists of two antipodal points $\pm p$. By rotating coordinates we may assume $\pm p = (\pm 1, 0, ..., 0)$ and

$$E \subset \{(u_0, ..., u_n) : \ u_2 = \cdots = u_n = 0\}.$$

We have two cases:

1. $E = \{(u_0, ..., u_n) : |u_0| \leq 1,\ u_1 = 0,\ u_2 = \cdots = u_n = 0\}$, a real interval:

   In this case
   $$L = \{(W_0, 0, ..., 0) : W_0 \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$
   But then $L \cap A = \{(W_0, 0, ..., 0) : W_0 = \pm 1\} = \{\pm p\} \subset \widetilde{K}$, contradicting $W \in A \setminus \widetilde{K}$.

2. $E = \{(u_0, ..., u_n) : u_0^2 + u_1^2/r^2 = 1,\ u_2 = \cdots = u_n = 0\}$ where $0 < r < 1$, a nondegenerate ellipse:

   In this case,
   $$L := \{(W_0, ..., W_n) : W_0^2 + W_1^2/r^2 = 1,\ W_2 = \cdots = W_n = 0\}.$$
   But then if $W \in L \cap A$ we have
   $$W_0^2 + W_1^2/r^2 = 1 = W_0^2 + W_1^2$$
   so that $W_1 = \cdots = W_n = 0$ and $W_0^2 = 1$; i.e., $L \cap A = \{\pm p\} \subset \widetilde{K}$ which again contradicts $W \in A \setminus \widetilde{K}$.

For the reverse inclusion, recall that the variety A is defined by $\sum_{j=0}^n W_j^2 = 1$. If $W = u + iv$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have
$$\sum_{j=0}^n W_j^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n [u_j^2 - v_j^2] + 2i \sum_{j=0}^n u_j v_j.$$
Thus for $W = u + iv \in A$, we have
$$\sum_{j=0}^n u_j v_j = 0.$$
If we take an orthogonal transformation T on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then, by definition, T preserves Euclidean lengths in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$; i.e., $\sum_{j=0}^n u_j^2 = 1 = \sum_{j=0}^n (T(u)_j)^2 = 1$. Moreover, if u, v are orthogonal; i.e., $\sum_{j=0}^n u_j v_j = 0$, then $\sum_{j=0}^n (T(u))_j \cdot (T(v))_j = 0$. Extending T to a complex-linear map on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ via
$$T(W) = T(u + iv) := T(u) + iT(v),$$
we see that if $W \in A$, then $\sum_{j=0}^n (T(u))_j \cdot (T(v))_j = 0$ so that
$$\sum_{j=0}^n (T(W)_j)^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n [(T(u)_j)^2 - (T(v)_j)^2] = \sum_{j=0}^n [u_j^2 - v_j^2] = 1.$$
Thus T preserves A.

Clearly the ellipse

$$L_0 := \{(W_0, ..., W_n) : W_0^2 + W_1^2 = 1,\ W_2 = \cdots = W_n = 0\}$$

corresponding to the great circle $S_0 := \{(u_0, ..., u_n) : u_0^2 + u_1^2 = 1,\ u_2 = \cdots = u_n = 0\}$ lies in A and any other great circle S_α can be mapped to S_0 via an orthogonal transformation T_α. From the previous paragraph, we conclude that $\cup_\alpha L_\alpha \subset A$.

$\square$

We use the Lundin formula for V_B in (2.1):

$$V_B(W) = \frac{1}{2} \log h\big(|W|^2 + |W^2 - 1|\big)$$

where $h(t) = t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1}$ for $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$. Now the formula for $V_{\widetilde{K}}$ can only be valid on A; and indeed, since $W^2 = 1$ on A, by the previous proposition we obtain

$$V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) = \frac{1}{2} \log h(|W|^2),\ W \in A.$$

Note that since the real sphere $\widetilde{K}$ and the complexified sphere A are invariant under real rotations, the Monge-Ampère measure

$$(dd^c V_{\widetilde{K}}(W))^n = (dd^c \frac{1}{2} \log h(|W|^2))^n$$

must be invariant under real rotations as well and hence is normalized surface area measure on the real sphere $\widetilde{K}$. This can also be seen as a consequence of $V_{\widetilde{K}}$ being the *Grauert tube function* for $\widetilde{K}$ in A as $(dd^c V_{\widetilde{K}}(W))^n$ gives the volume form dV_g on $\widetilde{K}$ corresponding to the standard Riemannian metric g there (cf., [15]).

Getting back to the calculation of $V_{K,Q}$, note that since $W = (\frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}}, \frac{z}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}})$,

$$|W|^2 := |W_0|^2 + |W_1|^2 + \cdots + |W_n|^2 = \frac{1 + |z|^2}{|1 + z^2|}.$$

Plugging in to (4.2)

$$V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) = V_B(W) = V_{K,Q}(z) - Q(z) = V_{K,Q}(z) - \frac{1}{2} \log |1 + z^2|$$

gives

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log\big([1 + |z|^2] + \{[1 + |z|^2]^2 - |1 + z^2|^2\}^{1/2}\big) \tag{4.3}$$

for $z \in \widetilde{\Omega}$. We show in section 5 that this formula does indeed give us the extremal function $V_{K,Q}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

A similar observation leads to another derivation of the above formula. Consider $\overline{F(K)}$ as the upper hemisphere

$$S := \{(u_0, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^{n} u_j^2 = 1, \ u_0 \geq 0\}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the projection $\pi(u_0, ..., u_n) = (u_1, ..., u_n)$ which we extend to $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ via $\pi(W_0, ..., W_n) = (W_1, ..., W_n)$. Then

$$\pi(S) = B_n := \{(u_1, ..., u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j^2 \leq 1\}$$

is the real $n-$ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Each great semicircle C_α in S – these are simply half of the L_α's from before – projects to half of an inscribed ellipse E_α in B_n, while the other half of E_α is the projection of the great semicircle given by the negative $u_1, ..., u_n$ coordinates of C_α (still in $F(K)$, i.e., with $u_0 > 0$). As before, the complexification E_α^* of the ellipses E_α correspond to complexifications of the great circles.

Proposition 4.2. *We have*

$$H_{F(K)}(W_0, ..., W_n) = V_{B_n}(\pi(W)) = V_{B_n}(W_1, ..., W_n) = V_{B_n}(W') \leq V_{\widetilde{K}}(W_0, ..., W_n)$$

for $W = (W_0, ..., W_n) = (W_0, W') \in A$.

Proof. Clearly $V_{B_n}(\pi(W)) \leq V_{\widetilde{K}}(W)$. For the inequality $H_{F(K)}(W) \leq V_{B_n}(\pi(W))$, note that for $W \in A$ with $W = (W_0, W')$, we have $\pi^{-1}(W') = (\pm W_0, W') \in A$ but the value of $H_{F(K)}$ is the same at both of these points. Thus $W' \to H_{F(K)}(\pi^{-1}(W'))$ is a well-defined function of W' for $W \in A$ which is clearly in $L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (in the W' variables) and nonpositive if $W' \in B_n$; hence $H_{F(K)}(\pi^{-1}(W')) \leq V_{B_n}(W')$.

□

From (4.2),

$$H_{\widetilde{K}}(W) = V_{\widetilde{K}}(W) = V_{K,Q}(z) - Q(z) = H_{F(K)}(W)$$

for $z \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ and $W = F(z)$ so that we have equality for such W in Proposition 4.2 and an alternate way of computing $V_{K,Q}$. From the Lundin formula, for $(W_0, W') \in A$ we have $W_0^2 + W'^2 = 1$ so

$$V_{B_n}(W') = \frac{1}{2} \log h\bigl(|W'|^2 + |W'^2 - 1|\bigr) = \frac{1}{2} \log h(|W|^2).$$

and we get the same formula (4.3)

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log\bigl([1 + |z|^2] + \{[1 + |z|^2]^2 - |1 + z^2|^2\}^{1/2}\bigr)$$

for $z \in \widetilde{\Omega}$.

Remark 4.3. Note that for $n = 1$, it is easy to see that

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = \max[\log |z - i|, \log |z + i|] \tag{4.4}$$

which agrees with formula (4.3).

5 Relation with Lie ball and maximality of $V_{K,Q}$

One way of describing the Lie ball $L_n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is that it is the homogeneous polynomiall hull $\widehat{(B_n)}_{hom}$ of the real ball

$$B_n := \{x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \leq 1\}.$$

A formula for L_n is given by

$$L_n = \{z = (z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z|^2 + \{|z|^4 - |z^2|^2\}^{1/2} \leq 1\}.$$

Note that (by definition) L_n is circled. Writing $Z := (z_0, z) = (z_0, z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$,

$$L_{n+1} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : |Z|^2 + \{|Z|^4 - |Z^2|^2\}^{1/2} \leq 1\}.$$

The (homogeneous) Siciak-Zaharjuta extremal function of this (circled) set is

$$H_{L_{n+1}}(Z) = V_{L_{n+1}}(Z) = \frac{1}{2}\log^+\big(|Z|^2 + \{|Z|^4 - |Z^2|^2\}^{1/2}\big).$$

Thus

$$V_{L_{n+1}}(1, z) = \frac{1}{2}\log\big([1 + |z|^2] + \{[1 + |z|^2]^2 - |1 + z^2|^2\}^{1/2}\big)$$

so that from (4.3)

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = V_{L_{n+1}}(1, z)$$

for $z \in \widetilde{\Omega}$.

The extremal function $V_{L_{n+1}}(Z)$ for the Lie ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is maximal outside L_{n+1} and, since

$$V_{L_{n+1}}(\lambda Z) = \log|\lambda| + V_{L_{n+1}}(Z)$$

for $Z \in \partial L_{n+1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| > 1$, we see that $V_{L_{n+1}}$ is harmonic on complex lines through the origin (in the complement of L_{n+1}). Thus for each $Z \notin L_{n+1}$, the vector Z is an eigenvector of the complex Hessian of $V_{L_{n+1}}$ at Z with eigenvalue 0. We will use this to show: *for $z \notin \mathbb{R}^n$, the vector* Imz *is an eigenvector of the complex Hessian of the function $V_{K,Q}(z)$ defined in (4.3) at z with eigenvalue* 0.

To this end, let $u : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ denote our candidate function for $V_{K,Q}$ where $K = \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and the weight $w(z) = |f(z)| = |\frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}}|$, i.e., for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, define

$$u(z) := \frac{1}{2}\log\big([1 + |z|^2] + \{[1 + |z|^2]^2 - |1 + z^2|^2\}^{1/2}\big).$$

Let $U : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ denote its homogenization, i.e,

$$U(Z) = \frac{1}{2}\log\big(|Z|^2 + \{|Z|^4 - |Z^2|^2\}\big)$$

with $Z := (z_0, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, so that $u(z) = U(1, z)$. From above, $\max[0, U(Z)]$ is the extremal function for the Lie ball L_{n+1}, and since $U(Z)$ is psh, so is $u(z)$. Also, U is symmetric as a function of its arguments and has the property that $U(\overline{Z}) = U(Z)$; in particular it follows that

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_k}(\overline{Z}) = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_k}(Z).$$

Now, for any function v, let $H_v(z)$ denote the complex Hessian of v evaluated at the point z. For any fixed $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$U(\lambda Z) = U(Z) + \log|\lambda|,$$

which is harmonic as a function of λ for $\lambda \neq 0$. It follows that

$$H_U(Z)Z = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \quad \forall Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \tag{5.1}$$

and that

$$H_U(Z)\overline{Z} = H_U(\overline{Z})\overline{Z} = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \quad \forall Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Equivalently, equation (5.1) says that, for $0 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_k}(Z) \times Z_k = 0.$$

But then, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_k}(Z) \times Z_k = -\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_0}(Z) \times Z_0.$$

Evaluating at $Z = (1, z)$ we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_k}(1, z) \times z_k = -\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_0}(1, z) \times 1,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \partial \overline{z}_k}(z) \times z_k = -\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_0}(1, z).$$

Similarly, from (5.2) we obtain, for $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_k}(Z) \times \overline{Z}_k = -\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_0}(Z) \times \overline{Z}_0$$

so that evaluating at $Z = (1, z)$ gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z_j \partial \overline{z}_k}(z) \times \overline{z}_k = -\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Z_j \partial \overline{Z}_0}(1, z).$$

Consequently,

$$H_u(z)z = H_u(z)\overline{z}, \text{ i.e., } H_u(z)(z-\overline{z}) = 0.$$

In particular, for $z \neq \overline{z}$, i.e., $z \notin \mathbb{R}^n$, $\det(H_u(z)) = 0$, i.e., $(dd^c u)^n = 0$ (note as u is psh, $H_u(z)$ is a positive semi-definite matrix).

Since the function u is maximal on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u(x) = Q(x) = \frac{1}{2}\log(1+x^2)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have proved the following:

Theorem 5.1. *For $K = \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and weight $w(z) = |f(z)| = |\frac{1}{(1+z^2)^{1/2}}|$,*

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\log\big([1+|z|^2] + \{[1+|z|^2]^2 - |1+z^2|^2\}^{1/2}\big), \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Note that from (1.2), since the Kähler potential $u_0(x) = Q(x)$ for $x \in K = \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$V_{K,Q}(z) = u_0(z) + v_{K,0}([1:z]).$$

Thus we have found a formula for the (unweighted) extremal function of $\mathbb{RP}^n$, the real points of $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Corollary 5.2. *The unweighted $\omega-$psh extremal function of $\mathbb{RP}^n$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathbb{RP}^n,0}([1:z]) &= \frac{1}{2}\log\big([1+|z|^2] + \{[1+|z|^2]^2 - |1+z^2|^2\}^{1/2}\big) - u_0(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log\big(1 + [1 - \frac{|1+z^2|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^2}]^{1/2}\big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

for $[1:z] \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and

$$v_{\mathbb{RP}^n,0}([0:z]) = \frac{1}{2}\log\big(1 + [1 - \frac{|z^2|^2}{(|z|^2)^2}]^{1/2}\big). \tag{5.4}$$

Since $|1+z^2| \leq 1+|z|^2$ (and $|z^2| \leq |z|^2$), we see that, e.g., upon taking $z = i(1/\sqrt{n}, ..., 1/\sqrt{n})$ in (5.3) or letting $z \to 0$ in (5.4),

$$\sup_{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{P}^n} v_{\mathbb{RP}^n,0}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2}\log 2.$$

This gives the exact value of the Alexander capacity $T_\omega(\mathbb{RP}^n)$ of $\mathbb{RP}^n$ in Example 5.12 of [11]:

$$T_\omega(\mathbb{RP}^n) = 1/\sqrt{2}.$$

We remark that Dinh and Sibony had observed that the value of the Alexander capacity $T_\omega(\mathbb{RP}^n)$ was independent of n (Proposition A.6 in [10]).

6 Calculation of $(dd^cV_{K,Q})^n$ with $V_{K,Q}$ in (4.3)

We will compute $(dd^cV_{K,Q})^n$ for $V_{K,Q}$ in (4.3) after discussing some differential geometry. Let $\delta(x;y)$ be a Finsler metric where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a tangent vector at x. The Busemann density associated to this Finsler metric is

$$\omega(x) = \frac{vol(\text{Euclidean unit ball in } \mathbb{R}^n)}{vol(B_x)}$$

where

$$B_x := \{y : \delta(x;y) \leq 1\}.$$

The Holmes-Thompson density associated to $\delta(x;y)$ is

$$\widetilde{\omega}(x) = \frac{vol(B_x^*)}{vol(\text{Euclidean unit ball in } \mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where

$$B_x^* := \{y : \delta(x;y) \leq 1\}^* = \{x : x \cdot y = x^t y \leq 1 \text{ for all } y \in B_x\}$$

is the dual unit ball. Here x^t denotes the transpose of the (vector) matrix x. Finsler metrics arise naturally in pluripotential theory in the following setting: if $K = \bar{\Omega}$ where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the quantity

$$\delta_B(x;y) := \limsup_{t\to 0^+} \frac{V_K(x+ity)}{t} = \limsup_{t\to 0^+} \frac{V_K(x+ity) - V_K(x)}{t} \tag{6.1}$$

for $x \in K$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ defines a Finsler metric called the *Baran pseudometric* (cf., [5]). It is generally not Riemannian: such a situation yields more information on these densities.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose*

$$\delta(x;y)^2 = y^t G(x) y$$

is a Riemannian metric; i.e., $G(x)$ is a positive definite matrix. Then

$$vol(B_x^*) \cdot vol(B_x) = 1 \text{ and } vol(B_x^*) = \sqrt{\det G(x)}.$$

Proof. Writing $G(x) = H^t(x)H(x)$, we have

$$\delta(x;y)^2 = y^t G(x) y = y^t H^t(x) H(x) y.$$

Letting $||\cdot||_2$ denote the standard Euclidean (l^2) norm, we then have

$$B_x = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : ||H(x)y||_2 \leq 1\} = H^{-1}(x)\big(\text{unit ball in } l^2\text{−norm}\big)$$

and

$$B_x^* = H(x)^t\big(\text{unit ball in } l^2\text{−norm}\big).$$

Hence $vol(B_x^*) \cdot vol(B_x) = 1$ and

$$vol\big(\{y : \delta(x;y) \leq 1\}^*\big) = vol(B_x^*) = \det H(x) = \sqrt{\det G(x)}.$$

$\square$

Motivated by (6.1) and Theorem 6.2 below, for $u(z) = V_{K,Q}(z)$ in (4.3), we will show that the limit

$$\delta_u(x; y) := \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{u(x + ity) - u(x)}{t}$$

exists. Fixing $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$F(t) := u(x + ity) = \frac{1}{2}\log\{(1 + x^2 + t^2y^2) + 2[t^2y^2 + t^2x^2y^2 - (x \cdot ty)^2]^{1/2}\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log\{(1 + x^2 + t^2y^2) + 2t[y^2 + x^2y^2 - (x \cdot y)^2]^{1/2}\}.$$

It follows that

$$\delta_u(x; y) = F'(0) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{2[y^2 + x^2y^2 - (x \cdot y)^2]^{1/2}}{1 + x^2} = \frac{[y^2 + x^2y^2 - (x \cdot y)^2]^{1/2}}{1 + x^2}.$$

We write

$$\delta_u^2(x; y) = \frac{y^2 + x^2y^2 - (x \cdot y)^2}{(1 + x^2)^2} = y^tG(x)y$$

where

$$G(x) := \frac{(1 + x^2)I - xx^t}{(1 + x^2)^2}.$$

Since this matrix is positive definite, $\delta_u(x; y)$ defines a Riemannian metric.

We analyze this further. The eigenvalues of the rank one matrix $xx^t \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ are $x^2, 0, \ldots, 0$ for

$$(xx^t)x = x(x^tx) = x^2 \cdot x;$$

and clearly $v \perp x$ implies $(xx^t)v = x(x^tv) = 0$. The eigenvalues of $(1+x^2)I - xx^t$ are then

$$(1 + x^2) - x^2,\ (1 + x^2) - 0, \ldots,\ (1 + x^2) - 0\ =\ 1, 1 + x^2, \ldots, 1 + x^2$$

and the eigenvalues of $G(x)$ are

$$\frac{1}{(1 + x^2)^2}, \frac{1}{1 + x^2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{1 + x^2}.$$

This shows $G(x)$ is, indeed, positive definite (it is clearly symmetric) and

$$\det G(x) = \frac{1}{(1 + x^2)^{n+1}}.$$

From Proposition 6.1,

$$vol(B_x^*) = \sqrt{\det G(x)} = \frac{1}{(1 + x^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} = \frac{1}{vol(B_x)}.$$

In particular, the Busemann and Holmes-Thompson densities associated to $\delta_u(x;y)$ are

$$\frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \tag{6.2}$$

up to normalization. Note from (4.4) in Remark 4.3 this agrees with the density of $\Delta V_{K,Q}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure dx on $\mathbb{R}$ if $n=1$ and this will be the case for the density of $(dd^cV_{K,Q})^n$ with respect to Lebesgue measure dx on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n>1$ as well. For motivation, we recall the main result of [9] (see [2] for the symmetric case $K=-K$):

Theorem 6.2. *Let K be a convex body and V_K its Siciak-Zaharjuta extremal function. The limit*

$$\delta(x;y) := \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{V_K(x+ity)}{t} \tag{6.3}$$

exists for each $x \in \operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{R}^n} K$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$(dd^cV_K)^n = \lambda(x)dx \text{ where } \lambda(x) = n!vol(\{y : \delta(x;y) \leq 1\}^*) = n!vol(B_x^*). \tag{6.4}$$

The conclusion of Theorem 6.2 required Proposition 4.4 of [9]:

Proposition 6.3. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\Omega := D \cap \mathbb{R}^n$. Let v be a nonnegative locally bounded psh function on D which satisfies:*

i. $\Omega = \{v = 0\}$;

ii. $(dd^cv)^n = 0$ *on* $D \setminus \Omega$;

iii. $(dd^cv)^n = \lambda(x)dx$ *on* Ω;

iv. *for all* $x \in \Omega,\ y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, *the limit*

$$h(x,y) := \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{v(x+ity)}{t} \text{ exists and is continuous on } \Omega \times i\mathbb{R}^n;$$

v. *for all* $x \in \Omega, y \to h(x,y)$ *is a norm.*

Then

$$\lambda(x) = n!\text{vol}\{y : h(x,y) \leq 1\}^*$$

and $\lambda(x)$ is a continuous function on Ω.

Theorem 6.4. *For $V_{K,Q}$ in (4.3),*

$$(dd^cV_{K,Q})^n = n!\frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}dx. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. Recall we extended $Q(x) = \frac{1}{2}\log(1+x^2)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ to all of $\mathbb{C}^n$ as

$$Q(z) = \frac{1}{2}\log|1+z^2| \in L(\mathbb{C}^n).$$

With this extension of Q, and writing $u := V_{K,Q}$, we claim

1. Q is pluriharmonic on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus V$ where $V = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : 1 + z^2 = 0\}$;
2. $u - Q \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$; and $\mathbb{R}^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : u(z) - Q(z) = 0\}$;
3. for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{Q(x+ity) - Q(x)}{t} = 0.$$

Item 1. is clear; 2. may be verified by direct calculation (the inequality also follows from the observation that $Q \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and Q equals u on $\mathbb{R}^n$); and for 3., observe that

$$|1 + (x+ity)^2|^2 = (1 + x^2 - t^2y^2)^2 + 4t^2(x \cdot y)^2 = (1+x^2)^2 + 0(t^2)$$

so that
$$\begin{aligned} Q(x+ity) - Q(x) &= \frac{1}{2}\log|1 + (x+ity)^2| - \frac{1}{2}\log(1+x^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\log\frac{(1+x^2)^2 + 0(t^2)}{(1+x^2)^2} \approx \frac{1}{4}\frac{0(t^2)}{(1+x^2)^2} \text{ as } t \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus 1. and 2. imply that $v := u - Q$ defines a nonnegative plurisubharmonic function in $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus V$, in particular, on a neighborhood $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$; from 1.,

$$(dd^cv)^n = (dd^cu)^n \text{ on } D; \tag{6.6}$$

and from 3., for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{v(x+ity) - v(x)}{t} &= \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{u(x+ity) - Q(x+ity) - u(x) + Q(x)}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{u(x+ity) - u(x)}{t} - \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{Q(x+ity) - Q(x)}{t} = \delta_u(x; y). \end{aligned}$$

Then (6.6), (6.2) and Proposition 6.3 give (6.5). □

References

[1] M. Baran, Plurisubharmonic extremal functions and complex foliations for the complement of convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Michigan Math. J.* **39** (1992), 395-404.

[2] E. Bedford, B. A. Taylor, The complex equilibrium measure of a symmetric convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Trans. AMS* **294** (1986), 705-717.

[3] T. Bloom, Weighted polynomials and weighted pluripotential theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Society*, **361** (2009), 2163-2179.

[4] T. Bloom and N. Levenberg, Weighted pluripotential theory in $\mathbb{C}^n$, *American J. Math.* **125** (2003), no. 1, 57-103.

[5] L. Bos, N. Levenberg and S. Waldron, Pseudometrics, distances, and multivariate polynomial inequalities, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **153** (2008), no. 1, 80-96.

[6] M. Branker and M. Stawiska, Weighted pluripotential theory on compact Kähler manifolds, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **95** (2009), no. 2, 163-177.

[7] D. Burns, N. Levenberg and S. Ma'u, Pluripotential theory for convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Math. Zeitschrift* **250** (2005), no. 1, 91-111.

[8] D. Burns, N. Levenberg and S. Ma'u, Exterior Monge-Ampère solutions, *Advances in Math.* **222** (2009), Issue 2, 331-358.

[9] D. Burns, N. Levenberg, S. Ma'u and S. Revesz, Monge-Ampère measures for convex bodies and Bernstein-Markov type inequalities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), 6325-6340.

[10] T. C. Dinh and N. Sibony, Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **81** (2006), no. 1, 221-258.

[11] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **15** (2005), no. 4, 607-639.

[12] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.

[13] M. Lundin, The extremal plurisubharmonic function for the complement of the disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$, unpublished preprint, 1984.

[14] A. Sadullaev, An estimate for polynomials on analytic sets, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **20** (1983), 493-502.

[15] S. Zelditch, Pluripotential theory on Grauert tubes of real analytic Riemannian manifolds, I, in *Spectral geometry, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **84**, Amer. Math. Soc., 299-339.

Authors:

L. Bos, leonardpeter.bos@univr.it
University of Verona, Verona, ITALY

N. Levenberg, nlevenbe@indiana.edu
Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

S. Ma‘u, s.mau@auckland.ac.nz
University of Auckland, Auckland, NEW ZEALAND

F. Piazzon, fpiazzon@math.inipd.it
University of Padua, Padua, ITALY